

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,692	06/26/2001	Hiroyuki Sakamoto		3987
7590 09/21/2004			EXAM	INER
Hiroyuki Sakamoto			DALENCOURT, YVES	
No.403 6-31, Hamatake	a 1 choma		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	53-0021		2157	
JAPAN			DATE MAILED: 09/21/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/892,692	SAKAMOTO, HIROYUKI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
· 	Yves Dalencourt	2157		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON t, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ju</u>	<u>une 2001</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.			
 Since this application is in condition for alloward 	nce except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	and the second s		
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		hu tha Fuanaina.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
	diffiner. Note the attachet	Office Action of form F 10-132.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)	-			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		iummary (PTO-413)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	s)/Mail Date nformal Paten ^t Application (PTO-152) 		
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No /Mail Day 2004004=		
Опісе Ас	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040917		

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is responsive to communication filed on 06/26/01.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Therefore "this invention " (line 1 in the abstract) is redundant.

Also "means" (line 2 in the abstract) is implied and should be avoided.

The spacing of the lines of the specification is such as to make reading and entry of amendments difficult. New application papers with lines double spaced on good quality paper are required.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It is suggested to check the specification for numerous grammatical errors.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2157

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: It is suggested to delete "strage " (claim 1, line 6) and insert – storage --; " oter " (claim 1, line 14) and insert -- other --; " imform " (claim 1, line 8) and insert -- inform --. Appropriate correction is required.

Please start the claim with "A method ".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 2157

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the limitation of "when the program start that used \dots the Directory Path List " (claim 1, line 9 – 11) is unclear. It is not understood to what program Applicant is referring to ?

Also, the limitation of "finally, even if both the computers don't have a fixed IP address using this invention (claim 20 - 23) is confusing. It is not clear to what both computers Applicant is exactly referring to?

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the program" in line 9). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 1, "the above method "(claim 1, line 24) is confusing.

Claim 1 is rejected with art as best understood by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Art Unit: 2157

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gai et al (US 6,697,360; hereinafter Gai).

Regarding claim 1, Gai teaches a method of communication used IP address by means of to fix a directory of IP address, even if IP address is not fixed in the network; previously, store a file of DIRECTORY PATH LIST in the storage device; the DIRECTORY PATH LIST includes directory path name to store the IP FILE for each computer connecting with network; IP FILE is file in the strage device and includes IP(Internet Protocol) address of the computer that is connecting with network; the DIRECTORY PATH LIST and IP FILE is permitted to read by each computer (col. 4, lines 34 – 67); when the program start that used this invention, this program write own IP address to IP FILE, and store the IP FILE on same directory path that registered for own in the DIRECTORY PATH LIST; next, this program get the directory path name where other computer store the IP FILE by means of reading DIRECTORY PATH LIST; and this program read the IP FILE that other computer stored, and try connection oter computer by means of using IP address what get from the IP FILE; similarly, be able to imform own IP address to other computer that running this program; if connection succeed, this program is able to communicate with other computer that running this program (col. 3, lines 7 – 61); finally, even if both the computers don't have a fixed IP address because that receive IP address from DHCP(Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol) server, be able to communicate with other computer in the network without

Art Unit: 2157

Page 6

lobby server by means of to use this program using this invention; the above method (paragraph bridging col. 3, line 62 through col. 4, line 30).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yves Dalencourt whose telephone number is (703) 308-8547. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7:30AM - 6: 30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (703) 308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yves Dalencourt

September 18, 2004

SALEH NAJJAH PRIMARY EXAMINER